

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

DEC 2 2014

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

Reply to: OCE-133

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

WARNING LETTER

Mr. Mark Moser Owner/Operator 2406 Slater Road 2121 Stickney Island Road Ferndale, Washington 98248

Re:

September 3, 2014, NPDES Compliance Inspection

NPDES Identifier Number WAU000634

Dear Mr. Moser:

On September 4, 2014, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) inspected your facility to evaluate its compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA). I would like to express my appreciation for your staff's time and cooperation during the inspection. At the time of inspection, the EPA inspector found one area of concern.

Animals Access to Waterway

While onsite the EPA inspectors viewed a pasture area west of the main confinement area that borders S. Church Road. The pasture along S. Church Road was devoid of vegetation in the northern corner about 550 feet from where Lampman Road meets S. Church Road caused by animals accessing the roadside ditch.

Please follow up with the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and the Whatcom Conservation District to ensure your facility complies fully with the state and local regulations. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please call Steven Potokar, CAFO Enforcement Coordinator, at (206) 553-6354.

Sincerely,

Jeff KenKnight, Manager NPDES Compliance Unit

cc: Ms.


Ms. Virginia Prest

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Michael Isensee Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Doug Allen Washington State Department of Ecology – Bellingham Field Office

Mr. George Boggs Whatcom Conservation District



		2. Warning Letter*
Case Name:	Moser Farms Inc	Heifer Facility
City/State:	Ferdale, WA	
	Title:	Compliance Officer
	Name:	Steven Potokar
	Initials:	SH
	Date:	11/28/2014
	If document	t is included, check YES. If not, check NO and explain. YES NO EXPLAIN
Document for	r signature/concurren	ce 🗵 🗆
Communicati	on plan	
recognized In	v located in Indian co dian tribe)? on potentially affect	untry and/or a Tribal facility (i.e., owned or controlled by a federally YES NO Tribal interests? YES NO
If YES, fill or	ut and attach Addend	um A: Enforcement Actions Involving Indian Tribes.

RETURN package to COMPLIANCE OFFICER for mailing.

* For documents to be signed by an OCE manager, attach a completed OCE Correspondence Action Request to this checklist. 10-1-2012 Version

United States Environme Washington,			
Water Compliance	Inspection Repo	ort	
Section A: Nationa	l Data System Coding (i.e	e., PCS)	
Transaction Code NPDES 1 N	yr/mo/day In 4 0 9 0 3 Remarks	spection Type	Inspector Fac Type
21		<u> </u>	66
Inspection Work Days Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating 67 1 0 69 70 70	BI QA 71 72	73 74	Reserved
	on B: Facility Data		
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users dischainclude POTW name and NPDES permit number) Moser Farms Inc Heifer Facility 5193 Imhof Road Ferndale, WA 98248	arging to POTW, also	Entry Time/Date 4:15PM 09/03/14 Exit Time/Date 5:00PM 09/03/14	Permit Expiration Date
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Numb Mark Moser - Owner/Operator (360) 384-1172	per(s)	Other Facility Data descriptive informa. Unpermitted NAICS 112120	(e.g., SIC NAICS, and other tion)
			Milk Production
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mark Moser - Owner/Operator (360) 384-1172 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, WA 98248	Contacted Yes 2 No	Lat/Long: 48.829	9385 -122.607915
Section C: Areas Evaluated Durin	g Inspection (Check only	those areas evalua	ated)
Permit Self-Monitoring Pro Records/Reports Compliance Schedu Facility Site Review Laboratory Effluent/Receiving Waters Flow Measurement Sludge Handling/Di	enance Pollution Prevented Storm Water	vention ewer Overflow	MS4
The state of the s	nmary of Findings/Comme		
(Attach additional sheets of narrative and check SEV Codes SEV Description	Kiists, including Single Ev		
		A	8 2014
	<u> </u>		ment Management Unit MU)
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Jon Klemesrud	Agency/Office/Phone and Fa EPA R10/OCE/IEMU (20	06) 553-5068	Date 09/08/14
Steven Potokar	EPA R10/OCE/NCU (200	0) 003-0354	
Signature of Management Q A Reviewer Finhelic C. Lete	Agency/Office/Phone and Fa	_	Date 9/18/14

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev 1-06) Actions editions are opposed

1015 9-15-2014 WBrow INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

Α	Performance Audit	Ų	IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit		Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)
В	Compliance Biomonitoring	X	Toxics Inspection	@	Follow-up (enforcement)
C	Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	Z	Studge - Biosolids	9	Tollow up (elitoracinicint)
D	Diagnostic	#	Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling	-{	 Storm Water-Construction-Sampling
F	Pretreatment (Follow-up)	\$	Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	,	Ctore Meter Construction Non-Const
G	Pretreatment (Audit)	+	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling	- }	Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampl
1	Industrial User (IU) Inspection	&	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling		Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampl
l j	Complaints	1	CAFO-Sampling		
M	Multimedia	=	CAFO-Non-Sampling	~	Storm Water-Non-Construction-
N	Spill	2	IU Sampling Inspection	30	Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
- 0	Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)	3	IU Non-Sampling Inspection		
Б	Pretreatment Compliance Inspection	4	IU Toxics Inspection	-	Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling
6	Reconnaissance	5	IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment	>	Storm Water MS4-Audit
17	L'ECUTHIBISSATICE	-	High and the language of the Destroy		

er-Construction-Non-Sampling

er-Non-Construction-Sampling

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

ABEJL!	State (Contractor) EPA (Contractor) Corps of Engineers Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead Local Health Department (State)	O— Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns) P— Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns) R— EPA Regional Inspector S— State Inspector T— Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
L	Local Health Department (State) NEIC Inspectors	Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
14 —	NEIC INSPECTORS	

IU Toxics with Pretreatment

IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- Municipal, Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971. 3 ---

Compliance Sampling

- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office.
- Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing, and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as followup on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 Inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

FY 2014 INSPECTION CONCLUSION DATA SHEET (ICDS)

EPA Region 10

CWA NPDES

ICDS data is required to be reported for all on-site compliance inspections conducted by EPA inspectors, Senior Environmental Employees, or EPA contractors. States and tribes are not required to report ICDS data even if using EPA credentials. In addition to the 'core' compliance monitoring data, additional information is required if the inspection has a 'NPDES Special Regulatory Program' component. This form requires the inspector to provide the requested information by entering data in a text box, or checking the applicable box in a multi-select pick list. **DO NOT MODIFY FORM**

Compliance Activity Type: Inspection/Evaluation

1. EPA Lead Inspector:

First & Last Name:	Jon Klemesrud	
Phone #: (include area code)	(206) 553-5068	

2. Compliance Monitoring Dates: (mm/dd/yyyy of inspection)

Actual Start Date:	09/03/2014
Actual End Date:	09/03/2014

3. Compliance Monitoring Activity Name:

This is a descriptive name to help identify the compliance monitoring activity (e.g., Castle Peak Construction LLC – Hidden River Estates construction site).

Moser Farms Inc. - Heifer Facility

4. On-Site Facility Representative? (Check No or Yes)

	No→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 5	
X	Yes→ If checked, provide the following info	ormation then proceed to ICDS line 5
1	Facility Representative: (first & last name)	Mark Moser
	Individual's Title:	Owner/Operator
	Organization:	Moser Farms Inc.
	Phone #: (include area code)	(360) 384-1172
	Email:	

5. Linked Facility:

A. Media-Specific Programmatic ID: For CWA NPDES facilities, this is the assigned 9-digit alphanumeric number (e.g., NPDES IDR10BD47). ONE & only one Programmatic ID must be linked to the Inspection. (Enter assigned NPDES #)

NPDES WAU000633

B. Facility Classification: (Check ONE)

NPDES Major	NPDES Minor	X	NPDES Unpermitted

C. Facility Site Name & Physical Location: Provide the public or commercial name of the facility & street address / detailed description of the site inspected (e.g., Castle Peak Construction LLC - Hidden River Estates, 504 Larch St., Priest River ID 83856).

Moser Farms Inc. – Heifer Facility 5193 Imhof Road

Ferndale, WA 98248

D. Facility Latitude & Longitude: (Decimal Degrees only)

Latitude: (e.g., +46.3271)	48.829385	
Longitude: (e.g., -119.1202)	-122.607915	

E. Is facility site within Tribal Land? (Check No or Yes)

X	No
	Yes→ Enter Tribal Land Name in text box below:

F. NAICS Codes: CTRL+Click to follow this link-> 2012 NAICS Search

(Enter all 6-digit NAICS codes corresponding to the site/facility in text box below)

P	rimary NAICS: 112120	Other NAICS:
G.	Facility Type of Ownership:	This information is specific to facility ownership; not inspection activity. (Check only ONE)

X	Corporation	
	Privately Owned	
	Individual	
	City Government	
2	County Government	
1	State Government	
	Tribal Government	
erro	School District	
	Municipal or Water District	(4)
200	Mixed Ownership (e.g., Public/Private)	
	GOCO (Government Owned/Contractor Operated)	
	Federal Facility → Enter Federal Agency Name in text box below:	

H. Small Business Indicator: This flag indicates if the Facility meets the requirements of the EPA Small Business Policy. EPA's Small Business Compliance Policy defines a small business as "a person, corporation, partnership or other entity that employs 100 or fewer individuals (across all facilities and operations owned by the small business)." This policy further states that "The number of employees should be considered as full-time equivalents on an annual basis, including contract employees." The definition of a small municipality (in terms of a small business) is a local government serving 3,300 or fewer residents.

(Check No or Yes)
No
X Yes

6. Federal Statute | Law Section | Program:

This is the statute & section of the corresponding regulation associated with the inspection, & the program that is authorizing the Activity or being violated. (Check only ONE)

O 24 1 41	,	ig riolated (Cheen chi) criz)	
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Base Program (Limits, Reporting, Schedule)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Pretreatment
1 2	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Sludge/Biosolids
X	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSO)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: Construction
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: Non-Construction
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: MS4
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Section 308 Information Requests

7. Compliance Monitoring (CM) Action Reason:

This is the description that identifies the purpose of a Compliance Monitoring Activity.

(You must check either Core Program or Agency Priority. If ONE of the Other CM Action Reasons applies, it should also be checked.)

	Core Program → If checked, skip ICDS line 8 & proceed to ICDS line 9
X	Agency Priority→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 8 & identify the applicable FY 2014 OECA National Priority
	Other - Citizen Complaint/Tip
	Other - For Cause
	Other - Random Inspection
á li	Other - Result of Spill
	Other - Selected Monitoring Action

8. FY 2014 OECA National Priority:

This is the description that identifies the national priority that prompted the initiation of the inspection. (If Agency Priority was checked in ICDS line 7, you must check ONE National Priority in table below)

}	2014 - Energy Extraction - Land Based Gas Extraction & Production
	2014 - WW - CAFO
X	2014 - WW - CAFO Regional Initiative Areas
	2014 - WW - CSOs < 50K service population
	2014 - WW - CSOs > = 50K service population
	2014 - WW - MS4s - Phase I
	2014 - WW - MS4s - Phase II
III	2014 - WW - SSOs > = 10 mg/d and < 100 mg/d

9. 'Inspection Type' PCS Code Reported on EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev 1-06) in Section A - Column 18:

Only one of the available 'Inspection Type' PCS Codes can be used to describe the type of inspection conducted. The Inspection Type checked in this section should equate to Compliance Monitoring Type checked in ICDS line 10. (Check only ONE)

A Performance Audit Inspection		\ CAFO (Sampling)	F Pretreatment (Follow-up)
B Compliance Biomonitoring	X	= CAFO (Non-Sampling)	G Pretreatment (Audit)
C Compliance Evaluation Inspection – Non-Sampling		# CSO (Sampling)	I Industrial User (IU) Inspection
D Diagnostic		\$ CSO (Non-Sampling)	P Pretreatment Compliance Inspection
J Complaints		SSO (Sampling)	! Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)
M Multimedia Inspection		& SSO (Non-Sampling)	U IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit
N Spill		{ Storm Water-Construction (Sampling)	2 IU Sampling Inspection
O Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)		} Storm Water-Construction (Non-Sampling)	3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection
R Reconnaissance Inspection		: Storm Water-Non-Construction (Sampling)	4 IU Toxics Inspection
S Compliance Sampling Inspection		∼ Storm Water-Non- Construction (Non-Sampling)	5 IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
X Toxics Inspection		Storm Water-MS4 (Sampling)	6 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
Z Sludge – Biosolids		- Storm Water-MS4 (Non-Sampling)	7 - IU Toxics with Pretreatment
(a) Follow-up (enforcement)		Storm Water-MS4 (Audit)	

10. Compliance Monitoring Type:

This is the description indicating the type of compliance monitoring activity conducted by a regulatory agency. The Compliance Monitoring Type checked in this section should equate to Inspection Type checked in ICDS line 9. (Check only ONE)

Comprehensive Type Inspections designed to comprehensively letermine compliance with the NPDES regulations & capture the most common & complete NPDES inspections)	Alternative Type Inspections (designed to capture less thorough, unique or unusual NPDES compliance monitoring activities)	Industrial User (IU) Type Inspections (apply only to the NPDES pretreatment program & designed to evaluate whether NPDES control authorities are meeting their responsibilities)	
Audit	AFO Defined	Audit (IU)	
Diagnostic	AFO Designation	Evaluation (IU)	
Evaluation	Aerial Photography	Sampling (IU)	
Plan Review	Case Development	Toxics (IU)	
Sampling	Field Screening Sample		
Schedule Evaluation	Follow-up		
Toxics	Hyperspectral Imaging		
Biomonitoring → If checked; you	Illegal Operators		
must also check a value in the	Non-Compliance Rate		
following drop-down list	Reconnaissance with Sampling		
Biomonitoring Compliance	Reconnaissance without Sampling		
Monitoring Methods	Remote Sensing		
Discrete Acute	Satellite Imaging		
Discrete Chronic	Witness Response Drill		
Discrete Method	Oversight (Federal Oversight		
Flow-Through Method	inspections conducted to ensure the		
Flow-Through Acute	integrity of a State's compliance		
Flow-Through Chronic	monitoring program) → If checked, skip ICDS lines 17-23		

11. Compliance Monitoring Agency Type: (Check only ONE)

X	U.S. EPA
	EPA Contractor
	Other-EPA (i.e. Senior Environmental Employees (SEE), National Enforcement Investigations Center (NEIC))

12. Compliance Monitoring Agency Name: (This is the only selection for ICDS)

X Environmental Protection Agency

13. Was this a State, Federal or Joint (State/Federal) Inspection? (Check either State, Federal or Joint)

8	State Inspection→ If State, proceed to ICDS line 14
X	Federal Inspection→ 1f Federal, proceed to ICDS line 14
	Joint (State/Federal) Inspection→ If Joint, you must answer the following two questions

	True Joint Inspection with EPA & State	pation o	Training Purposes		
	Oversight Purposes	100	Assist the State		
		1.5			
	2) Which Party had the lead (in the Joint inspection) State If checked, you must answer the following			i ·	
	If State, Local or Tribal lead, did EPA assist? (No Yes	Check No	or Yes)		
	EPA			1	
01	2 B/II - 44 - II - 45		 	9	
edi	ia Monitored: (Check only ONE)		10-10-		
37	Water (biosolids & other sludges)				
X	<u> </u>				
3077	Water (sediment)				
	Water (stormwater)				
	Water (wastewater to POTW)→ Applies only to I			o POTWs. If checked, you mu	ust ente
	the applicable POTW Name & NPDES # in text	box belo	w:		
กร	s Media Indicator: Federal Facility Activ	vitv			
	s Media Indicator: Federal Facility Actives an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility		nvolving Federal Faci	lities. (Check only ONE)	
		ivity as i			
s is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection acti Federal Facility	ivity as i			
is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection acti Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal li	ivity as is			
is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection acti Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal l No Federal Facility Involvement	ivity as in and or fe			
is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection acti Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved)	ivity as in and or fe	deral agency impactin	ng private property)	
is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection acti Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property	ivity as in and or fe	deral agency impactin	ng private property)	V
X	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property)	ivity as in and or fe	deral agency impactin	ng private property)	V
X	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property pliance Monitoring Action Outcome:	and or fe	deral agency impacting	ng private property) roperty)	V
x is is	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at the second of the s	and or fe	deral agency impacting	ng private property) roperty)	V
x is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property extinction of the inspection, if known at a Under Review	and or fe	deral agency impacting	ng private property) roperty)	v
x is is	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	V
x is is	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	V
X m	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	
x is is	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) Pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	
s is	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) Pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	
om _j	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty)	
om _S ic	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected Not Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty) y ONE)	e)
om, is ic X	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only	roperty) y ONE)	s)
om, is ic X	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation No→ If checked, skip to ICDS line 21	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only a chief migrating the on-site in the chief migrating the chief migrat	ng private property) roperty) y ONE) nspection? (Check No or Ye.	·
om, is ic X	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property) (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only a chief migrating the on-site in the chief migrating the chief migrat	ng private property) roperty) y ONE) nspection? (Check No or Ye.	·
ompission X	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involved Non-Federal Property (activity involved	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only a chief migrating the on-site in the chief migrating the chief migrat	ng private property) roperty) y ONE) nspection? (Check No or Ye.	·
omj	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at a Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation No→ If checked, skip to ICDS line 21 Yes→ If checked, you must identify the Deficiency (Peficiencies observed (Check all applicable)	and or fe	deral agency impacting migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only a chief migrating the on-site in the chief migrating the chief migrat	ng private property) roperty) y ONE) nspection? (Check No or Ye.	·
omj	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation of Inchecked, skip to ICDS line 21 Yes→ If checked, you must identify the Deficiency (Potential excess emission in violation of regulations)	and or fe	migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only activity) with the on-site in the table below	nspection? (Check No or Ye. w then proceed to ICDS line 1	·
omj	Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involving Contractors (be inspection, if known at a land land land land land land land la	and or fe	migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only activity) with the on-site in the table below	nspection? (Check No or Ye. w then proceed to ICDS line 1	·
omjis ic X	s an indication that directly marks the inspection active Federal Facility (traditional federal facility, military base, federal land No Federal Facility Involvement (no federal agency or federal property are involved Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property (activity involving contractors on federal property (activity involving contractors on federal property) pliance Monitoring Action Outcome: dentifies the outcome of the inspection, if known at Under Review No Violation Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected Not Immediately Corrected No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied) No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down) you observe deficiencies (potential violation of Inchecked, skip to ICDS line 21 Yes→ If checked, you must identify the Deficiency (Potential excess emission in violation of regulations)	ons) du	migrating to federal proof activity. (Check only aring the on-site in erved in the table belower than the table belower the table belower the table belower the	roperty) nspection? (Check No or Ye.) w then proceed to ICDS line 1	·

	Potential failure to follow or develop a required management practice or procedure
	Potential failure to identify and manage a regulated waste or pollutant in any media
	Potential failure to maintain a record or failure to disclose a document
	Potential failure to maintain/inspect/ repair meters, sensors, & recording equipment
	Potential failure to obtain a permit, product approval, or certification
Г	Potential failure to report regulated events such as spills, accidents, etc.
	Potential incorrect use of material (pesticide, waste, product) or use of unapproved material
	Potential violation of a compliance schedule in an enforceable order

19. If you observed deficiencies, did you communicate the deficiencies to the Facility during the inspection? (Check No or Yes)

"	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
	No→ If checked, skip to ICDS line 21
I	Yes→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 20

20. Did you observe the Facility take any actions during the inspection to address the deficiencies noted?

Ch	eck No or Yes)	
Г	No→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 21	
	Yes > If checked, you must identify Actions take	en in table below then proceed to ICDS line 21

Action(s) taken (Check only actions observed/ seen)

	mpleted a Notification or Report
Co	rrected Monitoring Deficiencies
Co	rrected Record Keeping Deficiencies
Im	plemented New or Improved Management Practices or Procedures
Im	proved Pollutant Identification (e.g., Labeling, Manifesting, Storage, etc)
Re	quested a Permit Application or Applied for a Permit
Ve	rified Compliance with Previously Issued Enforcement Action - Part or All Conditions
Re	duced Pollution (e.g., Use Reduction, Industrial Process Change, Emissions or Discharge Change, etc).
	If Reduced Pollution is checked, you must specify at least one Pollutant in the table below. See ICIS Pollutant References for complete list of available values. The document is available on EPA R10's OCE Intranet site.

21. Did you provide general Compliance Assistance in accordance with the policy on the role of the EPA Inspector in providing Compliance Assistance during inspections? (Check No or Yes)

		i providing	Сотрпансе	Assistance	uuring	mzhec	HOIIS
	No						
X	Yes						

22. Did you provide site-specific Compliance Assistance in accordance with the policy on the role of the EPA Inspector in providing Compliance Assistance during the inspections? (Check No or Yes)

X	No
5 1	Yes

23. Is the inspection/evaluation related to a NPDES Special Regulatory Program? (Check No or Yes)

- 1		110-3 ii checked, skip Attachments A-F	
	X	Yes→If checked, you must identify the NPDES Special Regulatory Program.	(Check applicable Program in table below,
		then proceed to Attachment indicated)	

	Pretreatment→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment A
	Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment B
	Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment C
X	Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment D
1000	Storm Water (Non-Municipal)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment E
-yal	Storm Water (Municipal)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment F

Data Collection Process:

Inspector is responsible for collection of ICDS data during the on-site inspection.

Inspector should complete the ICDS during or immediately after the inspection is conducted.

Inspector should forward completed ICDS to first-line supervisor/designated alternate within five (5) days after returning from either a single inspection, or a series of inspections.

The <u>first-line supervisor/designated alternate</u> should ensure ICDS data is collected & reported, and that the data is complete and accurate. Once the supervisor review is complete, the ICDS should be forwarded to the data entry person. For CWA inspections, forward the ICDS to the attention of Jeannine Brown by any of the following methods: Mail to U.S. EPA Region 10, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, Mailstop OCE-184, Seattle, WA 98101; or email to <u>Brown.Jeannine@epa.gov.</u>

ICDS Sign Off	Name	Date Completed
ICDS Completed By Inspector	Jon Klemesrud	09/08/2014
ICDS Review Completed By First-line Supervisor/ Designated		
Alternate		
ICDS Data Entry Completed By CWA Data Manager	Jeannine Brown	

ICDS Attachment D: Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) (page 1 of 2)

Moser Farms Inc Heifer Faci	lits	Facil	\mathbf{F}	eifer	- He	Inc.	Farms	Moser	1
-----------------------------	------	-------	--------------	-------	------	------	-------	-------	---

the Animal Facility Type a CAFO?	Yes			
Yes or No)				
AFO Classification?	Small			
Large, Medium, or Small)	and other sea			
AFO Designation Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)				
esignation Reason:				
Discharges During Year From Production Area: (Check only ONE)				
Check only ONE)				
Check only ONE) X No				
X No				

Soli	d &	Liq	uid	\mathbf{M}	anı	ıre

~	one es signia islandi e	
	Solid Manure or Litter Generated: (Tons)	
	Liquid Manure or Wastewater Generated: Gallons)	W T 7
Ì	Solid Manure or Litter Transferred: (Tons)	
	Liquid Manure or Wastewater Transferred: (Gallons)	

NMP (Nutrient Management Plan)

Does the facility have an NMP developed or approved by a certified planner? (Yes or No)	Yes
NMP Developed Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	
NMP Last Updated Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	1 1

EMS (Environmental Management System)

Does the facility have an EMS? (Yes or No)	
EMS Developed Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	
EMS Last Updated Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	114 1121

Land Application BMP (Best Management Practices)

Ty	ype (Check all applicable)	
X	Buffers	
X	Setbacks	
	Conservation Tillage	
	Constructed Wetlands	
	Infiltration Field	
	Grass Filter	
	Теттасе	-
	Residue Management	
	Other: (Specify)	

	mal Type			
Type (Check all applicable)		Open Confinement Count (#)	Housed Under Roof Confinement Count (#)	Total #
	Mature Dairy Cattle		80	80
	Veal Calves		III BAE WAS	
	Cattle (All except Mature Dairy Cattle & Veal Calves)			
	Swine over 55 lbs			
	Swine under 55 lbs			
	Horses			
	Sheep or Lambs			
	Turkeys			
	Chicken (All except Layers)			
	Chicken (Layers)			
	Ducks			
	Other: (Specify)			

Manure, Litter, & Processed Wastewater Storage Types

Ту	pe heck all applicable)	Storage Total Capacity Measure (# specify Tons or Gallons)	Days of Storage (#)
X	Wastewater Treatment Lagoon Storage Lagoon		
	Evaporation Pond		
	Above Ground Storage Tanks		
	Below Ground Storage Tanks		
(2.1	Roofed Storage Shed		
	Concrete Pad		
	Impervious Soil Pad		
	Underflow Pits		
	Anaerobic Digester		
	Outdoor Piles		
	None		
	Other: (Specify)		

ICDS Attachment D: CAFO (page 2 of 2)

Land Application

Land Available for Application Measure: (# of acres)	50
Number of Acres of Contributing Drainage from Production Area:	
(# of acres that are drained & collected in the production area)	

Livestock

Livestock Maximum Capacity: (# of animals)	
Livestock Capacity Determination Based Upon: (# of animals)	
Authorized Livestock Capacity: (the maximum # of animals that the Facility is authorized to handle which could be the same as the Designed Maximum Capacity)	

Containment Type

Ty	pe (Check all applicable)	Total Capacity (#)	
	Lagoon		
	Holding Pond		
	Evaporation Pond		
	Other: (Specify)		

Violation Types

Violation Types	
Type (Check all applicable)	
Failure to Have an NMP	
Failure to Follow an NMP	
Inadequate Storage	
Unauthorized Discharge	·
Improper Record Keeping	
Failure to Follow Setbacks/Ve	getative Buffering
Failure to Sample/Test Manur	e/Soil
Failure to Submit Annual Rep	ort

ATTACHMENT A

Photograph Documentation

All photographs were taken by Jon Klemesrud on September 3, 2014

Photo #1: Facing west, photo from the heifer facility. Manure is scraped from the confined area up into the connected lagoon.

